

LANGUAGE-ENHANCED PROGRAMMING TOOLS

Field of the invention

5 The present invention relates to computer-based programming tools.

Background

10 A computer programming language has a predefined syntax that allows programmers to express logical statements of in a universal manner. In any computer program, there is an intimate link between the universal and predetermined nature of a computer language in which the logical statements of the computer program are encoded, and the arbitrary semantic constructs from a particular human language, such as English, French, German etc., in which those statements are expressed. For example comments, and the names of
15 variables and functions, are often derived from the programmer's first natural language, or "native tongue".

Thus, while a computer program may rely upon a universally understood grammar, the semantic content of that computer program may in many cases be difficult to comprehend
20 for programmers who do not share the author's natural language.

As an example, consider a team of German programmers which has produced a large software project. The computer code is commented using commentary in the German language, with which all of the German programmers are familiar. Accordingly, each of
25 the German programmers can readily understand each other's work and can contribute to their peers' work as informed observers. The software project is deployed in many countries and in, for example, Canada, a major rewrite of the project is required to comply with local regulations.

30 As the Canadian programmers understand one or both of English and French, but rarely German, the Canadian programmers are at a distinct disadvantage. While the grammar of the language is entirely familiar, and the meaning of the code can be deduced from logical

statements and their structural context, the semantic context upon which a full understanding so heavily relies is largely indecipherable to the Canadian programmers.

With the advent of reliable global data communications, computer software projects are simultaneously conducted in various geographical locations using programmers that have different competencies in different natural languages. Similarly, computer code originating in one country is commonly deployed in many other countries, as in the hypothetical example given above.

Accordingly, in view of the above observations, a need clearly exists for an improved manner of allowing the semantic content of computer programs to be accessible to those who do not understand the natural language from which this semantic content derives.

Summary

Techniques are described herein for making statements of a computer program in a first source natural language, so that semantic content of the computer program is meaningful to a programmer familiar with a second target natural language. These techniques involve first determining the first source natural language of the computer program, either through analysis or user settings, and the second target natural language selected by the programmer.

Once the source and target languages are established, textual constructs in the source natural language of the computer program are determined for translation into the desired target natural language. Subtokenization is performed to form, as required, lexical tokens from the textual constructs. Subtokenization may rely on likely or detected coding conventions. The necessary translation is performed, using a translation engine having a standard application programming interface (API). The translation results are displayed, in any convenient form, for the benefit of the programmer. For example, a mouse pointer may be used to indicate a particular textual construct, such as a function name, and a translation is provided in a pop-up window in the graphical user interface.

In most cases, identified textual constructs are further broken into individual lexical tokens prior to translation. These tokens are usually parts of particular textual constructs identified in any appropriate manner. One approach is to rely upon a repository of coding style conventions that encapsulate a coding style for naming functions, variable etc. Using such coding conventions, individual tokens that can be translated by the translation engine can be identified.

The techniques described herein are advantageously incorporated into an integrated development environment (IDE) with which programmers are familiar. Additional translation features, as described herein, can then be provided for use by the programmer.

Description of drawings

Fig. 1 is a schematic representation of a translation engine.

Fig. 2 is a flow chart that represents steps involved in the operation of the translation engine of Fig. 1.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart that represents steps required to perform the code's natural language translation.

Fig. 4 is a flow chart that represents steps for translating parsed function and variable names.

Fig. 5 is a flow chart that represents steps for intelligently determining a coding convention.

Fig. 6 is a flow chart that represents steps required to translate debug symbols.

Fig. 7 is a flow chart that represents steps required to translate the debug symbols using cached results.

Fig. 8 is a flow chart that represents steps for using symbols in debuggers.

Fig. 9 is a flow chart that represents steps required for a debug session to accept translated names.

- 5 **Fig. 10** is a flow chart that represents steps for allowing programmers to enter names in their native tongue in a debugging tool using cached results.

Fig. 11 is a schematic representation of a computer system suitable for performing the techniques described herein.

10

Detailed description

- A detailed description is provided of the facilities that are added to programming development tools to allow programmers that use different natural languages to have the freedom of expressing their thoughts and understanding each other's thoughts without restricting the natural language that is used in the computer programming language.

Translation engines for natural text

- 20 Translation is the act of converting words and sentences expressed in one natural language into another natural language. **Fig. 1** schematically represents the architecture of a translation engine **100** and its operation.

The inputs to the translation engine **100** are:

25

- the natural language of the text that needs to be translated, namely the source language **130**.
- the natural language into which the text is to be translated, namely the destination language **140**.
- 30 • the sentences, phrases or other text that need to be translated from one natural language to the other natural language, referred to as the input text **150**.

The translation engine 100 may in some cases have embedded intelligence to detect the natural language of the source text, in which case the input for the natural language of the text is not required.

5

The output of the translation engine 100 is the translated text in the desired natural language, that is the translated text 160. An example of a translation engine 100 is described below.

10 The translation engine's main module 110 acts as a consistent and standard interface for users. The inputs 130, 140, 150 and output 160 of the translation engine 100 are as described above. The main module 110 delegates the task of translating text to the relevant submodules 120. The choice of the submodules depends on the inputs of the user. Such an arrangement can be provided for use in the form of binaries (Dynamic Link
15 Libraries, executables, etc), or in the form of Web services, so that other software can make use of this facility in their code.

Fig. 2 is a flow chart 200 that describes the steps of how a translation engine 100 operates. These steps of Fig. 2 are tabulated in Table 1 below using correspondingly
20 numbered steps.

TABLE 1

25	Step 210	Get the source language s , destination language d and the input text t from the user.
	Step 220	If translation from natural language s to natural language d is supported then perform step 240, or else perform step 230.
	Step 230	Return an error to the user.
30	Step 240	Call the relevant submodule(s) to translate the input text t in the source natural language s into the destination natural language d .
	Step 250	Provide the output of the current translation back to the user.

Translation engines for code editors and displayers

5 Code editors differ from code displayers. Code editors such as the “vi editor” used in Unix and related computer operating systems allow the programmer to alter the code. Code displayers are seen in debuggers (such as gdb, also used in the Unix environment), which provide a facility for displaying the code only. Source code in a viewer is read-only and in an editor, the source code is read-write. In gdb, this is done through the “list”
10 command. The term “editor” is used herein for editors and displayers interchangeably.

Source code in its most basic form is a stream of characters. To generate a binary executable from the source code, the compiler requires the source code to pass through various stages. Various tools (for example, editors) also apply similar steps to identify
15 various tokens of a computer program. One use of tokenizing a program is to display various tokens in different colors. This process is referred to as “syntax highlighting”.

Breaking a character stream into tokens is performed by lexically analyzing the source code. Lexical analysis is also termed “scanning”, and the modules that perform this task
20 of tokenizing a program are known as lexical analyzers (or, less formally scanners). For example, lexical analysis helps the editor determine comments in source code. Lexical analyzers are coded by programmers or, as in many cases, tools (such as lex) are used to generate these programs. Tokens are the output of a lexical analyzer. A lexeme is the stream of characters that form a token. For example, a C style comment “/* *function to do*
25 *this and that* */” will be identified as a token TOKEN_COMMENT, and the lexeme is the comment string itself.

Re-tokenizing a computer program completely is expensive in terms of the computer processing time. Editors cannot afford to do this when the user is constantly editing the
30 source code. A technique called “incremental lexical analysis” is used to re-tokenize the altered portion of the source code and code in the vicinity of this altered portion. This technique localizes the re-tokenizing process around the altered text during an editing session. Tim A. Wagner describes a suitable algorithm in further detail in *Practical*

Algorithms for Incremental Software Development, published March 10, 1998, in Chapter 5, entitled “General Incremental Lexical Analysis”. This reference describes in detail how editors can implement incremental lexical analysis to avoid re-tokenizing the whole program when the user is continuously editing the program. This publication has the details of the most commonly used generic incremental lexical algorithm.

Identifying statements, expressions and declarations based on the grammar of a programming language is achieved by parsing the tokens obtained from lexical analysis. Tools such as Yacc can assist in generating programs that can parse a stream of tokens using a set of rules set by the grammar. For example, parsing identifies a declaration of a variable. Lexical analysis and parsing helps in identifying various constructs of a computer program.

Editors implement lexical analysis in submodules or delegate the task of tokenizing/parsing to other tools. For example, a tool called “Ctags” can scan a source tree and identify the function names, variables in a source file. This information is used by various editors that do not have a strong lexical engine built into the editors. An integrated development environment (IDE) has at least editor, a compiler, and a debugger. Editors can reuse the output of various tools. For example, editors may reuse the lexically analyzed output of the compilers. Different arrangements exist but, more importantly, editors can be made capable of lexically analyzing and parsing code to determine various tokens and language constructs.

Editors

Editors interface with translation engines and display the translatable code in the native language selected by the programmer. The kind of tokens to be translated can be configured by a user.

Translatable code usually consists of comments, variable names, function names, and so on. For example, editors provide the facility of translating the comments that are originally expressed in, for example, the German language into comments expressed in, for example, the English language.

Depending on inputs provided by a user, the editor may want to translate:

1. A lexeme (a stream of characters that are a token) such as a comment.
2. A construct of the language such as a variable declaration or function name.

The following description uses the term construct for one such translatable code item. The procedure of how lexical analysis and parsing facilitates their extraction from the source code is described above. Editors can implement (or already implement) the procedure for both techniques using standard lexical analysis and parsing algorithms.

Fig. 3 is a flow chart that represents steps required to perform the code's natural language translation. These steps represented in **Fig. 3** are tabulated in **Table 2** below using correspondingly numbered steps.

TABLE 2

Step 310	The editor gathers the kind of constructs that need to be translated as input from the user. Optionally, this information is obtained from user settings (or from default settings if none are present).
Step 320	The editor gathers the source and destination natural languages for the translation process as input from the user.
Step 330	The editor identifies the constructs in the program being edited. This is done with the help of lexical analysis and parsing which is either inbuilt in the editor or facilitated by external tools.
Step 340	The editor gets a construct to be translated and feeds the construct as input to the translation engine.
Step 350	If the translation engine returned an error then perform steps 360 else perform step 370.

- Step 360 Log the translation engine error. Proceed to step 380.
- Step 370 The editor obtains the output (translated constructs) from the translation engine. The editor then displays the translated constructs in a comprehensible manner. A few sample techniques are as follows:
- 5 1. Layer the translated code on top of the original code and display the translated code.
 2. Insert the translated code as comments in the original code.
 3. Display the translation as a tool tip when the mouse hovers over a construct that needed translation.
 - 10 4. Display the translation in a separate window along with the original code in another window.
 5. Display the translation in any other convenient manner.
- Step 380 If there are more constructs to be translated then perform step 340, else perform step 390.
- 15 Step 390 Return to the calling function.

20 A hypothetical example is where a user instructs the editor that comments and function names should be translated from German to English. The editor, upon processing the code as described according the steps above, displays the comments and function names in English using any convenient technique.

A minor variation upon the steps described above are presented in **Table 3** below, using substitute steps 320' and 320'', which replace step 320.

TABLE 3

5	Step 320`	The editor intelligently tries to determine the actual natural language of the constructs that need to be translated. This can be achieved by asking the translation engine to perform this task.
10	Step 320``	The regional settings of the operating system can be used to determine the default natural language into which the code constructs are to be translated.

Translation of parsed function and variable names

15 Feeding a function name such as sort_array() or SortArray() may not yield a correct translation. Naming a function depends on the coding style of the program. Therefore, the tokenizing and parsing provided by existing editors may not suffice to break a function name into meaningful words. In this case, the editor can provide an interface in which a programmer can tell the editor the coding convention being used.

20 Alternatively, the editor can attempt detecting the coding convention by keeping a repository of various coding styles in a language understood internally by the debugger. For example an input such as "(W_) *W" may denote that a function / variable name consists of English words followed by an underscore, optionally followed by a word and
25 another underscore multiple times, and finally ending with a English language word. As stated earlier, the editor itself can hold such expressions in a repository for intelligent detection of words in a variable or function name, or any other token that the programmer finds fit for translation.

30 **Fig. 4** is a flow chart that summarizes the steps for translating parsed function and variable names. The steps of **Fig. 4** are tabulated in **Table 4** below using correspondingly numbered steps.

TABLE 4

5	Step 410	The editor gathers the kind of constructs that need to be translated as input from the user. Optionally, this information is obtained from user settings (or from default settings, if no user settings are specified).
	Step 420	The editor gathers the source and destination natural languages for the translation process as input from the user.
10	Step 430	<p>The editor determines from the user the coding convention of the constructs that require translation. This means that the user is supposed to determine how the constructs are to be further tokenized by supplying the delimiters that separate the tokens in a construct.</p> <p>Alternatively, editor determines the coding convention intelligently by comparing the source code against a repository of standard coding conventions. For example, a variable named "InputName" may be matched against all the coding conventions that the editor knows about. The editor may find a coding convention (W)*W in its repository, which indicates that a variable name may have one or more words concatenated together. (Words are identified by the change in the case of the letter as in "InputName"). On checking the words "Input" and "Name" in a standard dictionary (in the natural language of the original code), the editor may find that both the words are valid. This test may be enough to intelligently guess the coding convention of the source code constructs. A detailed explanation of this step is described later.</p>
25	Step 440	The editor identifies the constructs in the program being edited. This is done with the help of lexical analysis and parsing, which is either incorporated into the editor, or facilitated by external tools.
30	Step 445, 450	The editor gets a construct to be translated and determines if the construct needs further tokenizing against the current determined coding convention. If so, perform step 460 else perform step 470.

- Step 460 Tokenize the construct further into smaller translatable words. Neglect the non-natural language tokens that act as prefixes, suffixes, etc. For example, the function name GInputData() is tokenized as G, Input and Data based on the coding convention GW*W that denotes a function name is one or more words concatenated together and the name always begins with the alphabet G. The relevant tokens of this example are Input and Data. G can be ignored as G acts as a prefix, and need not be translated.
- Step 470 The editor feeds the constructs or the tokenized constructs of step 460 (if any) as input to the translation engine.
- Step 480 The editor obtains the output (translated constructs) from the translation engine.
- Step 490 If there were any smaller tokenized words in step 460 then combine the translated output, as per the coding conventions. This includes re-inserting the prefixes, suffixes and other non-natural language constructs that were neglected in step 460. Effectively, this is the inverse of step 460.
- Step 491 The editor displays the translated constructs in a comprehensible manner. A few sample techniques are as follows:
1. Layer the translated code on top of the original code and display the translated code.
 2. Insert the translated code as comments in the original code.
 3. Display the translation as a tool tip when the mouse hovers over a construct that needed translation.
 4. Display the translation in a separate window along with the original code in another window.
 5. Display the translation in any other convenient manner.

Step 492	If there are more constructs to be translated then perform step 450 else perform step 493.
Step 493	Return to the calling function.

5 **Fig. 5** is a flow chart that outlines how a coding convention may be determined intelligently. These steps in **Fig. 5** are tabulated in **Table 5** below using correspondingly numbered steps.

TABLE 5

10

Step 510	Obtain a sample construct from the source code. A sample construct can be chosen at random.
----------	---

Step 520	Retrieve a coding convention from the repository.
----------	---

Step 530	Tokenize the sample construct based on the coding convention.
----------	---

15

Step 540	If tokenizing resulted in tokens then perform step 550 else perform step 570.
----------	---

20

Step 550	Check if lexemes (corresponding to the tokens) are present in the dictionary. The tokens that are checked are constructs that can be translated. That is, suffixes, prefixes, etc are not checked. The language of the dictionary is the source language from which the translation is being performed.
----------	---

Step 560	If the lookup of step 550 was successful, then proceed to step 590 else perform step 570.
----------	---

25

Step 570	If there is more coding conventions in the repository then processing resumes at step 520 else proceed to step 580.
----------	---

Step 580	Return an error to the editor.
----------	--------------------------------

Step 590 Return the coding convention.

Displaying translated function and variable names

5

Debugging assists in detecting bugs in a program during runtime. A commonly used technique is called interactive debugging, which involves inserting breakpoints and observing the state of a program when a breakpoint is hit. A state of a program at an instance includes the call stack, variables, memory, registers, etc. A compiler generates
10 binary executables from source code. The binaries that are meant to run on customer's sites do not have additional information that facilitates the querying of a program's state during runtime. Using such binaries can be tedious without this information to debug them. To provide support for debugging, a special directive (usually a switch) instructs compilers to generate additional information. This information aids in displaying variable
15 names, function names, source code mapping, etc in a form that the programmer readily comprehends. This extra information is called the debug information, or debug symbols. These symbols help the debugger provide the following listed items of information:

1. Call Stack
- 20 2. Machine code to source code mapping
3. Variables
4. Stack frame information (in case frame pointer is omitted for optimization).

The debug information is either combined with the object files, or is stored separately in a
25 different file. COFF and PDB are two formats for debug information.

Code is desirably viewed in a language that one understands, but the translated text may not exactly match with the original text when one is debugging the variables displayed by the debugger. The debugger also interfaces with the translation engine (or uses cached
30 results) and translate the function/variable names, and other tokens so that the programmer's view of the variable names, function names, etc is the same as that displayed in the translated view of the code.

The technique described above in the subsection entitled “*Translation of parsed function and variable names*”, together with cached translations, can be used in the debugger. This solution can be further extended to tools that dump the debug information in various formats. For example, the tool objdump, may dump translated versions of the debug information so that this information makes more sense to the programmer.

If variable names in an editor are translated into another language, then similar techniques when applied to the debug information allow programmers to view translated variable names in tools that make use of this information. For example, if the editor translates the variable “InputName” in source code, then viewing this variable in the debugger should show the translated name to the users of the debugger. This enhances the debugger to let users observe the state of the program using translated debug symbols.

If the translation engine translates code from the editor, the results can be cached and subsequently used by the debugger to display variable and function names in their translated form. More generally, any tool that makes use of the debug symbols can translate the symbols to allow the users to view translated names of these symbols in the desired natural language.

Fig. 6 is a flow chart of the steps required to translate debug symbols. The same are outlined below in **Table 6** using correspondingly numbered steps.

TABLE 6

25	Step 610	The debugger determines how to use the debug information to display the state of a program in a manner that is useful to the programmer. Some examples of this are displaying function names in a call stack, displaying variable names and their values, etc.
30	Step 620	The debugger determines the source natural language of the debug symbols and the natural language into which translation is to be performed.

- Step 630 The debugger determines the symbols that the debugger needs in its display.
- Step 640 The debugger feeds these symbols as inputs to the translation engine. The inputs are further tokenized if need be as explained in the subsection entitled "*Translation of parsed function and variable names*".
- Step 650 The debugger obtains the results from the translation engine.
- Step 660 The debugger uses the translated text to display the debug symbols.

10 **Fig. 7** is a flow chart of the steps required to translate the debug symbols using cached results. An alteration to the steps of **Fig. 6** is presented in **Table 7** below.

TABLE 7

- 15 Step 740 To make use of caching, steps 640, 650 of **Fig. 6** can be replaced with this step 740 represented in **Fig. 7**. The debugger obtains the cached translation results that were generated while translating variable and function names in the editors.

20

Working with translated names

Debuggers and related tools often allow programmers to input the variable names for display, manipulation, etc. These names are matched in the symbol table and the debugging information stored during compile time. If no match is found for the input provided, the debugger flags an error. The debugger in this case allows the programmer to input these names in the translated string. The name is not only searched in the symbol table/debug information but also in the list of variables that were translated and used in the debugger.

Debuggers let programmers input symbol names in expressions in a watch window, a command line, etc. The debugger flags an error if the symbol is not found in the debug information. **Fig. 8** is a flowchart that describes how symbols are conventionally used in debuggers. These steps are outlined in **Table 8** using correspondingly numbered steps.

TABLE 8

	Step 810	Wait for user input.
10	Step 820	Determine the symbols in the expression or debug command.
	Step 830	Search the symbol in the debug symbol table.
	Step 840	If found, then perform step 860 , else perform step 850
15	Step 850	Return an error "Symbol not found" to the user.
	Step 860	Continue the debugging task.

20	Translated names can even be used in the above scenario. Fig. 9 is a flowchart that describes steps required for a debug session to accept translated names. These steps are outlined in the Table 9 using correspondingly numbered steps.	
----	--	--

TABLE 9

25	Step 910	Wait for user input.
	Step 920	Determine the symbols in the expression or debug command.
	Step 930	Search the symbol in the debug symbol table.
30	Step 940	If found, then perform step 990 , else perform step 950

Step 950 Determine the natural language of input and that of the symbols.

Step 960 Translate the input into natural language of the symbols. If need be, re-tokenize the input as described in the subsection "*Translation of parsed function and variable names*".

Step 970 If translated input (result of 960) is found in the symbol table then perform step 990, else perform step 980.

Step 980 Return an error "Symbol not found" to the user.

Step 990 Continue the debugging task.

Fig. 10 is a flow chart that describes how a debugging tool can use cached results to allow programmers to enter names in their native tongue. A variation upon the steps of Fig. 9 and Table 9 above is described below in Table 10.

TABLE 10

Step 1050 To make use of caching, steps 950, 960 can be replaced with this step. Reuse previously cached results to translate the input.

Configurability to programmers' needs

Various combinations the facilities described above may be used. Therefore, the tools also provide a user configurability to cater to the needs of all programmers. For example, the editor may be configured to overwrite (in display only) the comment with the translated version. Optionally, the translated version can be displayed in the status bar or other graphical user interface (GUI) controls. Similarly, the user may have the option of translating function names but not variable names. The programmer may additionally have user interface controls that let her or him translate comments in a highlighted area.

Many options are possible. The above solution can further be extended to other tools such as design tools, other debugging utilities, etc.

Integrated Development Environments

5

Integrated Development Environments (IDEs) are user interfaces that combine various programming tools together in a single computer “environment”. IDEs provide an interface to various development tools such as debuggers and compilers. IDEs also have editors, so that the *edit-compile-debug* cycle can be performed from the same program. 10 Microsoft Visual Studio is an example of such an IDE. An integrated environment improves the productivity of programmers. Creating an IDE requires creating a graphical user interface (GUI) to the various tools.

Editors, debuggers, and other debugging tools can use a translation module to facilitate 15 understanding of certain portions of a computer program in the desired natural language as described herein. An IDE can be used in a similar manner, and consequently uses tools that support the translation. As an example, an IDE can be constructed having

1. An editor that is capable of interfacing with translation modules to translate 20 comments, variable names and functions names, as described herein.
 2. A debugger that is capable of providing translated symbol names to the IDE for display. Also, the debugger allows input of symbol names in the desired natural language, so that the user may input symbol names similar to what is displayed in the GUI and the computer code.
- 25 A special debugger that can translate can also be used. IDEs are graphical user interface (GUI) “front-ends” that display what the debugger is instructed to perform. Similarly, user input is passed to the debugger via the IDE. That is, the task of displaying and providing user edit controls is left to the IDE. Therefore, for debuggers that do not translate symbols, the IDE can perform the extra step of translating 30 symbols on the fly when the IDE is displaying the translated symbols. That is, when the debugger provides the symbols and the values for display, the IDE translates the symbols and then displays the translated symbols in its GUI. Also, when the user

inputs something, for example changes the value of a variable, the user can do so by making changes to what is apparently a translated version of the variable name. The IDE can then map the translated variable name to its actual symbol and pass the symbol to the debugger.

5 A common translation engine may be deployed, and the task of translation can be thus centralized between all tools used by an IDE. The common translation engine fulfills the translations needs of the editor and the debugger. Centralizing translation allows translation to result to be cached to avoid unnecessary retranslation.

10 An IDE can thus be constructed by using editors and debuggers with translation capabilities. Optionally, the IDE may perform translation, tokenizing and re-tokenizing (as required) centrally for all tools.

Computer hardware and software

15

Fig. 11 is a schematic representation of a computer system **1100** that executes a debugger as described herein. The debugger software executes under a suitable operating system installed on the computer system **1100** to assist in performing the described techniques. The debugger software is programmed using any suitable computer programming
20 language.

The components of the computer system **1100** include a computer **1120**, a keyboard **1110** and mouse **1115**, and a video display **1190**. The computer **1120** includes a processor **1140**, a memory **1150**, input/output (I/O) interfaces **1160**, **1165**, a video interface **1145**,
25 and a storage device **1155**.

The processor **1140** is a central processing unit (CPU) that executes the operating system and the computer software executing under the operating system. The memory **1150** includes random access memory (RAM) and read-only memory (ROM), and is used
30 under direction of the processor **1140**.

The video interface **1145** is connected to video display **1190** and provides video signals for display on the video display **1190**. User input to operate the computer **1120** is

provided from the keyboard 1110 and mouse 1115. The storage device 1155 can include a disk drive or any other suitable storage medium.

Each of the components of the computer 1120 is connected to an internal bus 1130 that
5 includes data, address, and control buses, to allow components of the computer 1120 to communicate with each other via the bus 1130.

The computer system 1100 can be connected to one or more other similar computers via a
input/output (I/O) interface 1165 using a communication channel 1185 to a network,
10 represented as the Internet 1180.



The debugger software may be recorded on a portable storage medium, in which case, the computer software program is accessed by the computer system 1100 from the storage device 1155. Alternatively, the debugger software can be accessed directly from the Internet 1180 by the computer 1120. In either case, a user can interact with the computer system 1100 using the keyboard 1110 and mouse 1115 to operate the programmed computer software executing on the computer 1120.

Other configurations or types of computer systems can be equally well used to implement
20 the described techniques. The computer system 1100 described above is described only as an example of a particular type of system suitable for implementing the described techniques.

Conclusion

25 The debugger described herein allows for development of computer software code in various geographical locations by reducing language-related problems. Programmers can achieve a better understanding of legacy computer software code written that relies upon expressions in a natural language with which the programmer is not familiar. That is, a
30 programmer interpreting another programmer's code can debug computer software in an environment that caters to their own language needs. Conversely, a programmer developing source code can rely upon their native language to freely expression ideas within the computer code.

The problem of poor translation accuracy decreases as translation engines become ever more sophisticated. Thus, the benefits of permitting a programmer to use their native language are available with only little disadvantage.

5

Various alterations and modifications can be made to the techniques and arrangements described herein, as would be apparent to one skilled in the relevant art.